

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,243	02/17/2004	Florian Kehrer		9665
75	90 06/01/2006		EXAMINER	
Francis C. Hand, Esq. c/o Carella, Byrne, Bain, Gilfillan,			BUSHEY, CHARLES S	
Cecchi, Stewart & Olstein		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
5 Becker Farm Road			1724	
Roseland, NJ	07068		DATE MAILED: 06/01/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_ *
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/780,243	KEHRER, FLORIAN	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Scott Bushey	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	5 January 2006 and 04 April	<u>2006</u> .	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	·		
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,11,12,14 and 19	is/are withdrawn from cons	ideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-8,10,13,15-18 and 20</u> is/are	rejected.		
7) Claim(s) 9 is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b)  □ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cord 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	received.	
Attachmont(c)			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	(08) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/780,243 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 5, 8, 10, 15-17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Acker et al (Figs. 1 and 7; col. 1, lines 9-10; col. 4, lines 3-26).

Applicant should note that Acker et al clearly discloses one or more channels (44) having apertures (46) for the outflow of a plurality of liquid streams. Below the channels are guide means (52 in Fig. 7), which pass through gutter means (14) having a tapering region and a gap, which throttles liquid flow through the distributor. The guide means includes spaced apart drip points at the lower edge thereof (see Fig. 7), which uniformly distribute liquid that gathers within the gutter to provide a hydrodynamic balance. Note col. 4, lines 15-20.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 6, 7, 13, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Acker et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/780,243

Art Unit: 1724

Acker et al, as applied above substantially disclose applicant's invention as recited by instant claims 2, 6, 7, 13, and 18, except for the parallel arrangement of the channels and gutters, as recited by claims 2 and 18; the guide means mesh structures, as recited by instant claims 6 and 7; and the aperture spacing and flow rates as recited by instant claim 13. The reference does disclose a plurality of useable guide means materials (see Figs. 2, 3, 6, and 7), including the grate structure (50), which at least approximates applicant's claimed mesh structures. The reference also discloses (at col. 4. lines 21-26) that the channels and gutter means may have a plurality of configurations that would be well understood by one having ordinary skill in the art. Lastly, spacing of the liquid outlet apertures, as well as the liquid flow rates through the apparatus would have been dictated by the overall diameter and height of the contact column, as well as the process being practiced with the apparatus, and thus such would have been an obvious expedient that would have been well within the expected knowledge base of one having ordinary skill within the art. It would have been obvious for an artisan at the time of the invention, to orient the channels and gutters of Acker et al into a parallel relationship, if such were desired, since the modification from a perpendicular relationship would not materially effect the operation of the apparatus, in view of the uniform distribution capabilities of the guide means, as taught by Acker et al. Further, in view of the multiple useable materials for the guide means, as taught by Acker et al, it would have been obvious for an artisan at the time of the invention, to substitute a mesh structure of any known mesh size for the porous material, as taught by figure 7 of the reference, since such would allow for the use of the reference

apparatus with a liquid having a viscosity that would be too great for use with the porous plastic material.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record fails to disclose or suggest providing a metal mesh guide means that may be placed within and extending through the gap below the downwardly tapering region of the gutter, while being in contact with each wall of the throttling means of the gutter.

#### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments submitted January 25, 2006, pertaining to the ability of the Fischer et al '526 reference to anticipate or render obvious the inventions as set forth by claims 1, 2, 4, 13, 15, 17, and 18, have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Therefore, the previous rejections have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, new grounds of rejection are made herein in view of Acker et al, as set forth above.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott Bushey whose telephone number is 571 272-1153. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/780,243 Page 5

Art Unit: 1724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Scott Bushey Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

csb 5-30-06

5-30-06